

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,405	08/22/2003	Wei Wang	AMAT/3177.DI/CPI/L/B/PJS 9508		
44257 PATTERSON	7590 01/22/2008 & SHERIDAN, LLP	EXAMINER			
3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500			MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN		
HOUSTON, T	X //056		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795	•	
•	·				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/646,405	WANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rodney G. McDonald	1795		

	Rodney G. McDonald	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba davit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origite than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr nally set in the final Offi	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS	hus animate the data of filing a briaf	will not be entered b	00000			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading they raise new issues that would require further co			ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 11.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		α			
13. Other:		Acho M	Mary			
		Rodney G. McDon Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1795				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final rejection and for the reasons set forth below. Applicant has argued that the references do not teach a second gas from the second inlet port is supplied through a gap defined between a shield ring and the substrate support member. As discussed in the previous office action Gilboa suggest a gap between a support member and a shield member through which gas can be introduced. Furthermore, looking at Fig. 8 of Sone Sone teach a shield member 31 and a second gas supply means 4. The gas from the gas supply means 4 would be supplied through a gap defined between a shield (i.e. overlying portion of shield with substrate holder) and the substrate support member. Furthermor, the shield 31 of Sone can be considered to be a shield ring since it is circularly surrounds the substrate. The claims are not limited such that the shield ring rests upon the substrate holder and the gas flows between the ring and the substrate holder as shown in Applicant's Figure 4. Instead the claims as broadly interpreted would read on a ring shield surrounding a substrate holder with a gas introduced between the ring shield and the substrate holder as shown in Fig. 8 in Sone et al. as discussed above. Sone et al. also recognize delivering inert gas to proximate to the target and supplying reactive gas proximate to the substrate.